The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis

Shichang Zhang 04 19 2022

Roadmap

- The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis (LTH)
 - The pruning problem
 - The original LTH
 - Linear mode connectivity and instability analysis (helps the LTH to scale up)
 - Early-bird tickets (helps the LTH to become more practical)
- LTH and Graphs
 - A Unified Lottery Ticket Hypothesis for Graph Neural Networks (ICML 2021)

• Overparameterized NNs are less efficient and hard to deploy

- Overparameterized NNs are less efficient and hard to deploy
- Research on pruning date back to 1990s. Han et al. (2015) prunes 90% NN weights and maintain performance matching the full NN

- Overparameterized NNs are less efficient and hard to deploy
- Research on pruning date back to 1990s. Han et al. (2015) prunes 90% NN weights and maintain performance matching the full NN
- Exists a small (sparse) NN with enough capacity

- Overparameterized NNs are less efficient and hard to deploy
- Research on pruning date back to 1990s. Han et al. (2015) prunes 90% NN weights and maintain performance matching the full NN
- Exists a small (sparse) NN with enough capacity





• Such small (sparse) NNs can't be trained in isolation to achieve performance matching the full NN



- Such small (sparse) NNs can't be trained in isolation to achieve performance matching the full NN
- Representing the learned knowledge doesn't require large capacity, but the learning process does



The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis (LTH)

THE LOTTERY TICKET HYPOTHESIS: FINDING SPARSE, TRAINABLE NEURAL NETWORKS

Jonathan Frankle MIT CSAIL jfrankle@csail.mit.edu Michael Carbin MIT CSAIL mcarbin@csail.mit.edu

ICLR 2019 (Best Paper)

The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis (LTH)

THE LOTTERY TICKET HYPOTHESIS: FINDING SPARSE, TRAINABLE NEURAL NETWORKS

Jonathan Frankle MIT CSAIL jfrankle@csail.mit.edu Michael Carbin MIT CSAIL mcarbin@csail.mit.edu

ICLR 2019 (Best Paper)

Learning can also be done in isolation for model with a small capacity

The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis (LTH)

THE LOTTERY TICKET HYPOTHESIS: FINDING SPARSE, TRAINABLE NEURAL NETWORKS

Jonathan Frankle MIT CSAIL jfrankle@csail.mit.edu Michael Carbin MIT CSAIL mcarbin@csail.mit.edu

ICLR 2019 (Best Paper)

Learning can also be done in isolation for model with a small capacity

The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis. A randomly-initialized, dense neural network contains a subnetwork that is initialized such that—when trained in isolation—it can match the test accuracy of the original network after training for at most the same number of iterations.

• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)

• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)



• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)



• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)





Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)

• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)





• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP) • Hypothesis



• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)



- Hypothesis
 - Training includes initialization and optimization

• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)



- Hypothesis
 - Training includes initialization and optimization
 - The initialization step is a lottery

• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)



- Hypothesis
 - Training includes initialization and optimization
 - The initialization step is a lottery
 - A lucky subnetwork will be selected and driving the optimization process, which can be trained in isolation to achieve performance matching the full NN

• Iterative magnitude pruning (IMP)



- Hypothesis
 - Training includes initialization and optimization
 - The initialization step is a lottery
 - A lucky subnetwork will be selected and driving the optimization process, which can be trained in isolation to achieve performance matching the full NN
 - One initialization maps to one set of winning tickets

Extensive Experiments to Support the LTH

- Different architectures
 - LeNet, ResNet-18, and VGG
- Different datasets
 - MNIST, CIFAR-10

Extensive Experiments to Support the LTH

- Different architectures
 - LeNet, ResNet-18, and VGG
- Different datasets
 - MNIST, CIFAR-10



- Contributions
 - Propose the Lottery Ticket **Hypothesis**, which shows that retraining the pruned NN is possible
 - Verified the hypothesis with extensive experiments

- Contributions
 - Propose the Lottery Ticket **Hypothesis**, which shows that retraining the pruned NN is possible
 - Verified the hypothesis with extensive experiments
- Limitations
 - Experiment scale is still relatively small
 - Later on, people found that the IMP strategy fails on ImageNet with large NNs

- Contributions
 - Propose the Lottery Ticket Hypothesis, which shows that retraining the pruned NN is possible
 - Verified the hypothesis with extensive experiments
- Limitations
 - Experiment scale is still relatively small
 - Later on, people found that the IMP strategy fails on ImageNet with large NNs
 - IMP requires expensive iterative training
 - Making LTH more of an analysis tool rather than a practical pruning method

Can LTH Scales Up?

Can LTH Scales Up?

Linear Mode Connectivity and the Lottery Ticket Hypothesis

Jonathan Frankle¹ Gintare Karolina Dziugaite² Daniel M. Roy³⁴ Michael Carbin¹

ICML 2020

Can LTH Scales Up?

Linear Mode Connectivity and the Lottery Ticket Hypothesis

Jonathan Frankle¹ Gintare Karolina Dziugaite² Daniel M. Roy³⁴ Michael Carbin¹

ICML 2020

Yes. If we go back to somewhere close to the beginning, but not the initial state for retraining.

Research Question

• How does the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) training affect the optimization trajectories of NNs?

Research Question

 How does the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) training affect the optimization trajectories of NNs?

data order

Research Question

 How does the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) training affect the optimization trajectories of NNs?

data order


Research Question

• How does the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) training affect the optimization trajectories of NNs?

data order





$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(W_1, W_2) &= \mathcal{E}(\alpha W_1 + (1 - \alpha) W_2) \\ \mathcal{E}_{sup}(W_1, W_2) &= \sup_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(W_1, W_2) \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W_1, W_2) &= \operatorname{mean}(\mathcal{E}(W_1), \mathcal{E}(W_2)) \\ \mathcal{E}_{sup}(W_1, W_2) - \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W_1, W_2) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(W_1, W_2) &= \mathcal{E}(\alpha W_1 + (1 - \alpha) W_2) \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{sup}}(W_1, W_2) &= \sup_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(W_1, W_2) \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W_1, W_2) &= \mathsf{mean}(\mathcal{E}(W_1), \mathcal{E}(W_2)) \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{sup}}(W_1, W_2) - \bar{\mathcal{E}}(W_1, W_2) \end{aligned}$$



• Instability < threshold

Instability < threshold



Instability < threshold



Instability < threshold



•SGD training is very unstable

•SGD training is very unstable



•SGD training is very unstable



•The instability decreases as we increase k

•SGD training is very unstable



•The instability decreases as we increase k





From iteration 0, we do not find linear mode connectivity.



From iteration 0, we do not find linear mode connectivity.



From early in training, we find linear mode connectivity



From iteration 0, we do not find linear mode connectivity.



From early in training, we find linear mode connectivity

ResNet-20 on CIFAR-10

ResNet-50 and Inception-v3 on ImageNet

• Caveat: larger k will naturally lead to less instability

• Caveat: larger k will naturally lead to less instability



• Caveat: larger k will naturally lead to less instability



• Recall: Finding The Winning Tickets with IMP

• Recall: Finding The Winning Tickets with IMP



Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)

• Linear connectivity correlates with winning tickets

• Linear connectivity correlates with winning tickets

Winning Tickets



No Winning Tickets



- Linear connectivity correlates with winning tickets
- Hypothesis: Instability is a problem for sparse NNs but not dense NNs, sparse NNs get stuck in local optimum



No Winning Tickets





Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)



Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)



Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)

No Winning Tickets





Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)

No Winning Tickets





Lottery Ticket Hypothesis - IMP procedure (Frankle & Carbin, 2019)

No Winning Tickets





LTH works again
Summary

Summary

- Propose the instability analysis, which can be used to study NN optimization dynamics
 - The LTH
 - Others optimization modules, e.g. the learning rate scheduler

Summary

- Propose the instability analysis, which can be used to study NN optimization dynamics
 - The LTH
 - Others optimization modules, e.g. the learning rate scheduler
- Solved one limitation of the original LTH
 - Sparse IMP subnetworks can be trained to full accuracy when they find the linearly connected iteration

Can LTH Become More Practical?

Can LTH Become More Practical?

DRAWING EARLY-BIRD TICKETS: TOWARDS MORE EF-FICIENT TRAINING OF DEEP NETWORKS

Haoran You, Chaojian Li, Pengfei Xu, Yonggan Fu, Yue Wang, Richard G. Baraniuk & Yingyan Lin^{*}
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Rice University
Houston, TX 77005, USA
{hy34, cl114, px5, yf22, yw68, yingyan.lin, richb}@rice.edu

Xiaohan Chen & Zhangyang Wang^{*} Department of Computer Science and Engineering Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843, USA {chernxh, atlaswang}@tamu.edu

ICLR 2020

Can LTH Become More Practical?

DRAWING EARLY-BIRD TICKETS: TOWARDS MORE EF-FICIENT TRAINING OF DEEP NETWORKS

Haoran You, Chaojian Li, Pengfei Xu, Yonggan Fu, Yue Wang, Richard G. Baraniuk & Yingyan Lin^{*}
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Rice University
Houston, TX 77005, USA
{hy34, cl114, px5, yf22, yw68, yingyan.lin, richb}@rice.edu

Xiaohan Chen & Zhangyang Wang^{*} Department of Computer Science and Engineering Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843, USA {chernxh, atlaswang}@tamu.edu

ICLR 2020

Yes. We don't need full training to find the winning tickets









Original LTH







Figure 1: Retraining accuracy vs. epoch numbers at which the subnetworks are drawn, for both PreResNet101 and VGG16 on the CIFAR-10/100 datasets, where p indicates the channel pruning ratio and the dashed line shows the accuracy of the corresponding dense model on the same dataset, \bigstar denotes the retraining accuracies of subnetworks drawn from the epochs with the best search accuracies, and error bars show the minimum and maximum of three runs.

• Subnetworks drawn at early stages can achieve comparable or even better accuracy than the dense NN



Figure 1: Retraining accuracy vs. epoch numbers at which the subnetworks are drawn, for both PreResNet101 and VGG16 on the CIFAR-10/100 datasets, where p indicates the channel pruning ratio and the dashed line shows the accuracy of the corresponding dense model on the same dataset, \bigstar denotes the retraining accuracies of subnetworks drawn from the epochs with the best search accuracies, and error bars show the minimum and maximum of three runs.

- Subnetworks drawn at early stages can achieve comparable or even better accuracy than the dense NN
- Identify NN connectivity patterns at later stage can be "overcooking"



Figure 1: Retraining accuracy vs. epoch numbers at which the subnetworks are drawn, for both PreResNet101 and VGG16 on the CIFAR-10/100 datasets, where p indicates the channel pruning ratio and the dashed line shows the accuracy of the corresponding dense model on the same dataset, \bigstar denotes the retraining accuracies of subnetworks drawn from the epochs with the best search accuracies, and error bars show the minimum and maximum of three runs.



• Draw EB Tickets when HDs of subnetworks between consecutive epochs are smaller than a specific threshold





• Green -> yellow: smooth distance



• Overall results on CIFAR-10/100 compared to the original LTH progressive pruning and training

• Overall results on CIFAR-10/100 compared to the original LTH progressive pruning and training

Metrics	Overall Improvement
Total Training Energy Cost	16.7% ~ 78.7%
Accuracy	-0.81% ~ +2.38%

• Overall results on CIFAR-10/100 compared to the original LTH progressive pruning and training

Metrics	Overall Improvement
Total Training Energy Cost	16.7% ~ 78.7%
Accuracy	- 0.81% ~ + 2.38%



A power meter to measure energy cost



- Propose the EB Lottery Ticket, which shows that the progressive training in the original LTH can be stopped early
- EB tickets detector with hamming distance

LTH for Graphs

LTH for Graphs

A Unified Lottery Ticket Hypothesis for Graph Neural Networks

Tianlong Chen^{*1} Yongduo Sui^{*2} Xuxi Chen¹ Aston Zhang³ Zhangyang Wang¹

ICML 2021

LTH for Graphs

A Unified Lottery Ticket Hypothesis for Graph Neural Networks

Tianlong Chen^{*1} Yongduo Sui^{*2} Xuxi Chen¹ Aston Zhang³ Zhangyang Wang¹

ICML 2021

Prune a GNN and a graph jointly

• Pruning for vision and language are referring to NNs

- Pruning for vision and language are referring to NNs
- GNNs are usually not very large

- Pruning for vision and language are referring to NNs
- GNNs are usually not very large
- However, the graph itself can be huge
 - Training and inference on a dense graph can both be slow
The Pruning Problem on Graphs

- Pruning for vision and language are referring to NNs
- GNNs are usually not very large
- However, the graph itself can be huge
 - Training and inference on a dense graph can both be slow
- A well studied problem in the graph domain
 - Graph sampling
 - Graph sparsification
 - Graph coarsening

• Pruning both the GNN and the graph via IMP

• Pruning both the GNN and the graph via IMP



• Pruning both the GNN and the graph via IMP



Pruning both the GNN and the graph via IMP



Algorithm 1 Unified GNN Sparsification (UGS) **Input:** Graph $\mathcal{G} = \{A, X\}$, GNN $f(\mathcal{G}, \Theta_0)$, GNN's initialization Θ_0 , initial masks $m_q^0 = A, m_{ heta}^0 = 1 \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\|\Theta_0\|_0}$, Step size η , λ_g , and λ_{θ} . **Output:** Sparsified masks m_a and m_{θ} 1: for iteration i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1 do Forward $f(\cdot, \boldsymbol{m}_{\theta}^{i} \odot \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{i})$ with $\mathcal{G} = \{\boldsymbol{m}_{q}^{i} \odot \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{X}\}$ to 2: compute the loss \mathcal{L}_{UGS} in Equation 3. Backpropagate to update $\Theta_{i+1} \leftarrow \Theta_i - \eta \nabla_{\Theta_i} \mathcal{L}_{UGS}$. 3: 4: Update $\boldsymbol{m}_{g}^{i+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{m}_{g}^{i} - \lambda_{g} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{m}_{g}^{i}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{UGS}}.$ Update $\boldsymbol{m}_{\theta}^{i+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{m}_{\theta}^{i} - \lambda_{\theta} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{m}_{\theta}^{i}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{UGS}}.$ 5: 6: end for 7: Set $p_g = 5\%$ of the lowest magnitude values in $\boldsymbol{m}_a^{\mathrm{N}}$ to 0 and others to 1, then obtain m_q . 8: Set $p_{\theta} = 20\%$ of the lowest magnitude values in m_{θ}^{N} to 0 and others to 1, then obtain m_{θ} .

GCN on Cora, Citeseer, and PubMed



GAT on Cora, Citeseer, and PubMed

• GAT is more amenable to pruning



Performance Summary



Reference

- Han et al. (2015) Learning both Weights and Connections for Efficient Neural Networks <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.02626.pdf</u>
- Frankle & Carbin. (2019) The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis: Finding Sparse, Trainable Neural Networks <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03635.pdf</u>
- Frankle et al. (2020) Linear Mode Connectivity and the Lottery Ticket Hypothesis <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.05671.pdf</u>
- You et al. (2020) Drawing Early-bird Tickets: Towards More Efficient Training Of Deep Networks <u>https://openreview.net/pdf?id=BJxsrgStvr</u>
- Chen et al. (2021) A Unified Lottery Ticket Hypothesis for Graph Neural Networks https://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.06790.pdf
- You et al. (2022) Early-Bird GCNs: Graph-Network Co-Optimization Towards More Efficient GCN Training and Inference via Drawing Early-Bird Lottery Tickets <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.00794.pdf</u>

Appendix

Tell the Difference

- Graph sampling
- Graph augmentation
- Graph pruning
- Graph sparsification
- Graph coarsening
- Graph pooling
- Graph structure learning
- Graph coreset
- Graph condensation
- Graph lottery ticket

Method	Nodes	Edges	Features (the same nodes)	Learning?	Is it the goal?	Note
Sampling	N' << N	A′ ⊆ A	X' = X	No	No	A pre-learning process, usually locally
Augmentation	N′ ≠ N	A' ≠ A	X' ≠ X	No	No	A pre-learning process
Pruning	N' < N	A′ ⊆ A	$X' \subseteq X$	Yes	Yes	Usually used for the NN graph
Sparsification	N' = N	$A' \subseteq A$	X' = X	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Coarsening /Pooling	N' < N	A' ⊆ A	X' ≠ X	Yes	Yes/No	Coarsening is usually for node-level problems Pooling is usually for graph-level problems
Structure learning	N' = N	A' ≠ A	X' = X	Yes	No?	 A may not be given Learning maybe jointly with a GNN
Coreset	N' << N	A' = A	X' = X	Yes	No	An importance score may be learned for each node
Condensation	N' << N	A' ≠ A	X' ≠ X	Yes	Yes	G' is a brand-new generated graph
Lottery ticket (UGS)	N' = N	A' ⊆ A	X' = X	Yes	Yes/No	 Verifying the existence of the LT Jointly learned with a GNN





Early-Bird GCNs: Graph-Network Co-Optimization Towards More Efficient GCN Training and Inference via Drawing Early-Bird Lottery Tickets

Haoran You, Zhihan Lu, Zijian Zhou, Yonggan Fu, Yingyan Lin

AAAI 2022

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Rice University {haoran.you, zl55, zjzhou, yf22, yingyan.lin}@rice.edu

