Shichang Zhang 11.02.2021

Roadmap

- Model Explainability
	- Motivating Examples for Images and Tabular Data
- GNN Explainability (Graph Data Explainability)
	- Graphs vs. Images vs. Tabular Data
	- SubgraphX (ICML 2021)

Model Explainability

- Goal: understand black-box models, e.g. NNs.
- Existing approaches
	- Instance-level
		- Example-specific understanding, why an input data is mapped to a certain output
	- Model-level
		- High-level generic understanding, how the model mechanism leads to a certain output

• Instance-level: Which pixels are importance for classifying an image

• Instance-level: Which pixels are importance for classifying an image

Which pixels matter: **Saliency via Occlusion**

Mask part of the image before feeding to CNN, check how much predicted probabilities change

Zeiler and Fergus, "Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks", ECCV 2014

Boat image is CC0 public doma ant image is CC0 public de o-Karts image is CC0 public d

• Instance-level: Which pixels are importance for classifying an image

ge is CC0 public dom s image is CC0 public doma

Which pixels matter: **Saliency via Occlusion**

Mask part of the image before feeding to CNN, check how much predicted probabilities change

Zeiler and Fergus. "Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks", ECCV 2014

African elephant. Loxodonta africana

• Model-level: What kind of image maximizes the probability for a certain class

- Model-level: What kind of image maximizes the probability for a certain class
	- Treat pixels in the image as parameters and tune them with gradient ascent

- Model-level: What kind of image maximizes the probability for a certain class
	- Treat pixels in the image as parameters and tune them with gradient ascent

Random Initialization

Synthesized

Yosinski et al, "Understanding Neural Networks Through Deep Visualization", ICML DL Workshop 2014. Figure copyright Jason Yosinski, Jeff Clune, Anh Nguyen, Thomas Fuchs, and Hod Lipson, 2014.

Nguyen et al, "Multifaceted Feature Visualization: Uncovering the Different Types of Features Learned By Each Neuron in Deep Neural Networks", ICML Visualization for Deep Learning Workshop 2016. Figures copyright Anh Nguyen, Jason Yosinski, and Jeff Clune, 2016;

- Model-level: What kind of image maximizes the probability for a certain class
	- Treat pixels in the image as parameters and tune them with gradient ascent

Synthesized

Yosinski et al, "Understanding Neural Networks Through Deep Visualization", ICML DL Workshop 2014. Figure copyright Jason Yosinski, Jeff Clune, Anh Nguyen, Thomas Fuchs, and Hod Lipson, 2014.

Nguyen et al, "Multifaceted Feature Visualization: Uncovering the Different Types of Features Learned By Each Neuron in Deep Neural Networks", ICML Visualization for Deep Learning Workshop 2016. Figures copyright Anh Nguyen, Jason Yosinski, and Jeff Clune, 2016;

Synthesized Ground Truth

Random Initialization **Smart Initialization considering multimodality**. The "grocery store" class

• A feature selector for both instance-level and model-level

- A feature selector for both instance-level and model-level
	- Usually involves a score function to assign each feature an importance score

- A feature selector for both instance-level and model-level
	- Usually involves a score function to assign each feature an importance score
	- Instance-level

- A feature selector for both instance-level and model-level
	- Usually involves a score function to assign each feature an importance score
	- Instance-level

- Model-level
	- Ex. a simple linear model

Figure credit: https://github.com/slundberg/shap

• Instance-level

- Instance-level
	- Node classification

- Instance-level
	- Node classification

Figure credit: Ying, R., Bourgeois, D., You, J., Zitnik, M., & Leskovec, J. (2019). Gnnexplainer: Generating explanations for graph neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, *32*, 9240.

- Instance-level
	- Graph classification

- Instance-level
	- Graph classification

Important subgraph selection

- Instance-level
	- Graph classification

Important subgraph selection

• Explanation of graphs is more meaningful than images

- Explanation of graphs is more meaningful than images
	- Image explanation is mostly for model understanding and debugging

- Explanation of graphs is more meaningful than images
	- Image explanation is mostly for model understanding and debugging
	- Graph explanation may reveal useful knowledge

- Explanation of graphs is more meaningful than images
	- Image explanation is mostly for model understanding and debugging
	- Graph explanation may reveal useful knowledge
		- Data-level graph classification explanation is similar to frequent pattern mining.

- Explanation of graphs is more meaningful than images
	- Image explanation is mostly for model understanding and debugging
	- Graph explanation may reveal useful knowledge
		- Data-level graph classification explanation is similar to frequent pattern mining.
- Explanation of graphs is more challenging than tabular data

- Explanation of graphs is more meaningful than images
	- Image explanation is mostly for model understanding and debugging
	- Graph explanation may reveal useful knowledge
		- Data-level graph classification explanation is similar to frequent pattern mining.
- Explanation of graphs is more challenging than tabular data
	- Graphs as tabular data with structure information

SubgraphX (ICML21)

On Explainability of Graph Neural Networks via Subgraph Explorations

Hao Yuan¹ Haiyang Yu¹ Jie Wang² Kang Li³ Shuiwang Ji¹

- Instance level
- Graph classification

Problem Formulation

• Goal: Identify the most important subgraph for classifying a graph

Problem Formulation

- Goal: Identify the most important subgraph for classifying a graph
- Notations

Problem Formulation

- Goal: Identify the most important subgraph for classifying a graph
- Notations
	- $f(\cdot)$ GNN to be explained $\mathcal G$ Input graph $\{\mathcal{G}_1,\cdots,\mathcal{G}_i,\cdots,\mathcal{G}_n\}$ All connected subgraphs G^* The most important subgraph
- Objective

$$
\mathcal{G}^* = \underset{|\mathcal{G}_i| \leq N_{\min}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ \text{Score}(f(\cdot), \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_i)
$$

Challenges

- There are too many subgraphs. How can we explore them?
- What is a reasonable score function?

• Tree construction: start with the input graph and take pruning actions

- Tree construction: start with the input graph and take pruning actions
	- Each node \mathcal{N}_i in the MCT represents a subgraph \mathcal{G}_i
	- Root node \mathcal{N}_0 represents the input whole graph $\mathcal G$
	- Edge from a parent to its child represents a prune action a_i

- Tree construction: start with the input graph and take pruning actions
	- Each node \mathcal{N}_i in the MCT represents a subgraph \mathcal{G}_i
	- Root node \mathcal{N}_0 represents the input whole graph $\mathcal G$
	- Edge from a parent to its child represents a prune action a_j
- Variables needed for the MCTS algorithm

- Tree construction: start with the input graph and take pruning actions
	- Each node \mathcal{N}_i in the MCT represents a subgraph \mathcal{G}_i
	- Root node \mathcal{N}_0 represents the input whole graph $\mathcal G$
	- Edge from a parent to its child represents a prune action a_i
- Variables needed for the MCTS algorithm
	- $C(N_i, a_j)$ denotes the number of counts for selecting action a_i for node \mathcal{N}_i .
	- $W(\mathcal{N}_i, a_i)$ is the total reward for all (\mathcal{N}_i, a_i) visits.
	- $Q(\mathcal{N}_i, a_i) = W(\mathcal{N}_i, a_i) / C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_i)$ and denotes the averaged reward for multiple visits.
	- $R(\mathcal{N}_i, a_i)$ is the immediate reward for selecting a_i on \mathcal{N}_i , $R(\mathcal{N}_i, a_i) = \text{Score}(f(\cdot), \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{N}_i, a_i))$

• Each MCTS iteration selects a path to a leaf node \mathcal{G}_ℓ

$$
a^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a_j} Q(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + U(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j),
$$

$$
U(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = \lambda R(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) \frac{\sqrt{\sum_k C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_k)}}{1 + C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j)},
$$

• Each MCTS iteration selects a path to a leaf node \mathcal{G}_ℓ

$$
a^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a_j} Q(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + U(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j),
$$

$$
U(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = \lambda R(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) \frac{\sqrt{\sum_k C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_k)}}{1 + C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j)},
$$

• Then update the counts and total rewards by

$$
C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + 1,
$$

$$
W(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = W(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + \text{Score}(f(\cdot), \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_\ell).
$$

• Each MCTS iteration selects a path to a leaf node \mathcal{G}_{ℓ}

$$
a^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a_j} Q(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + U(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j),
$$

$$
U(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = \lambda R(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) \frac{\sqrt{\sum_k C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_k)}}{1 + C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j)},
$$

• Then update the counts and total rewards by

$$
C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = C(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + 1,
$$

$$
W(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) = W(\mathcal{N}_i, a_j) + \text{Score}(f(\cdot), \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_\ell).
$$

• Finally, select the subgraph with the highest reward from the leaf level

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

• Score function

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

- Score function
	- Ablation study: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu(F) \nu(F \setminus \{f\})$

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

- Score function
	- Ablation study: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu(F) \nu(F \setminus \{f\})$

Note: exclude (replace w/ average)

• Bivariate association: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu({f}) - \nu(\emptyset)$

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

- Score function
	- Ablation study: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu(F) \nu(F \setminus \{f\})$
	- Bivariate association: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu({f}) \nu(\emptyset)$
	- Consider interactions between features:

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

- Score function
	- Ablation study: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu(F) \nu(F \setminus \{f\})$
	- Bivariate association: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu({f}) \nu(\emptyset)$
	- Consider interactions between features:
		- Ex. $v = 1$ {Age > BP/3}

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

 \overline{F} all features target features $\nu: 2^F \to \mathbb{R}^+$ predictive evaluation $I_{\nu}(\cdot)$ importance score

- Score function
	- Ablation study: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu(F) \nu(F \setminus \{f\})$
	- Bivariate association: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu({f}) \nu(\emptyset)$
	- Consider interactions between features:
		- Ex. $y = 1$ {Age > BP/3}
		- Shapley value:

• Recall the instance-level feature selection of tabular data

 $\,F$ all features target features $\nu: 2^F \to \mathbb{R}^+$ predictive evaluation $I_{\nu}(\cdot)$ importance score

- Score function
	- Ablation study: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu(F) \nu(F \setminus \{f\})$
	- Bivariate association: $I_{\nu}(f) = \nu({f}) \nu(\emptyset)$
	- Consider interactions between features:
		- Ex. $y = 1$ {Age > BP/3}

• Shapley value:
$$
I_{\nu}(f) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{S \subseteq F \setminus \{f\}} \frac{1}{\binom{|F|-1}{|S|}} \Delta(f, S, \nu)
$$

$$
\Delta(f, S, \nu) = \nu(S \cup \{f\}) - \nu(S)
$$

Shapley Value on Graphs

• The selected subgraph \mathcal{G}_i ($\{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$) as one "feature"

Shapley Value on Graphs

- The selected subgraph \mathcal{G}_i ($\{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$) as one "feature"
- Neighbors of \mathcal{G}_i within L-hops $\{v_{k+1}, \cdots, v_r\}$ as other "features"

Shapley Value on Graphs

- The selected subgraph \mathcal{G}_i ($\{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$) as one "feature"
- Neighbors of \mathcal{G}_i within L-hops $\{v_{k+1}, \cdots, v_r\}$ as other "features"

$$
F = \{G_i, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_r\}
$$

\n
$$
f = G_i
$$

\n
$$
\nu = \text{GNN}
$$

\n
$$
I_{\nu}(f) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{S \subseteq F \setminus \{f\}} \frac{1}{\binom{|F| - 1}{|S|}} \Delta(f, S, \nu)
$$

\n
$$
\Delta(f, S, \nu) = \nu(S \cup \{f\}) - \nu(S)
$$

SubgraphX Framework

Shapley Value Scoring

MCTS

Result Visualization

• MUTAG dataset for molecule classification

Reference

- SubgraphX: Yuan, H., Yu, H., Wang, J., Li, K., & Ji, S. (2021). On explainability of graph neural networks via subgraph explorations: *<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.05152.pdf>*
- Shapley value:

[https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/file/8a20a8621978632d7](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/file/8a20a8621978632d76c43dfd28b67767-Paper.pdf) 6c43dfd28b67767-Paper.pdf

Appendix